1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
10	
11	Coordination Proceeding) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) No. 4003
12	IN RE COORDINATED LATEX CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 18
13	GLOVE CASES) SERVICE OF DOE DEFENDANTS
14	GENERIC FILING
15	
16	
17	Within five days of the date for Identification of Additional Parties as set forth on the case
18	management plan adopted by the Court for each case in JCCP 4003, plaintiff shall serve all Doe
19	defendants with summons and complaint and shall serve on each Doe defendant and on Defendants's
20	Liaison Counsel a declaration in which plaintiff's counsel identifies the non-privileged information on
21	which plaintiff relies in naming each such party as a Doe defendant. Service may be accomplished by
22	mailing the summons, complaint and declaration on the attorney of record for any defendant who has
23	previously appeared in these coordinated proceedings. In the case of multiple attorneys of record
24	representing such a defendant, the defendant may designate which attorney should be served in this
25	manner. Service in this manner does not preclude a motion to quash in appropriate cases.
26	
27	
28	

1	The date for compliance with this order in <i>Clark v. Baxter Healthcare Corporation et al.</i> shall be five
2	days from the date of service of this order.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	DATED: February, 1999
7	WILLIAM C. PATE Judge of the Superior Court
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

смо18.217 2